





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/114,810	07/13/1998	ANTHONY ATALA	CME-117	9691
5	7590 05/07/2003			
LAHIVE AND COCKFIELD			EXAMINER	
28 STATE ST BOSTON, MA			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3763 DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	72

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	**					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	X			
	09/114,810	ATALA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cris L. Rodriguez	3763				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cov r she t with the c	orr spond nce ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u> March 2003</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>55-81</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55,57-63,65 and 68-7</u>	78 is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>56,64,66,67 and 79-81</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 1998 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Clago			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/114,810

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 55, 57, 58-63, 65, 68-78 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: a restriction requirement was made on paper No. 5 filed on September 23, 1999, and applicant elected in paper No. 8 filed on March 27, 2000. Since applicant filed an RCE, the prosecution is continued with the same previous elected invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 55, 57, 58-63, 65, and 68-78 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Drawings

- 2.) The drawings are objected to because on-page 15-line 12, reference-numeral "27" is

 not-shown in figure 9; on-page 16-line 7, reference-numerals "60" and "20c" are not-shown

 Proposed drawings has two

 in the drawings; Figure 10 does not have a transversal cut line to show what is shown in

 figure 11, Correction is required.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the device comprising a battery as set forth in claim 80 for the elected species must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/114,810

Art Unit: 3763

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the device comprising a battery as set forth in claim 80 for the elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

6. Claims 56, 64, and 79-81are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogden (5,656,016).

Ogden discloses a therapeutic drug delivery device comprising an applicator 12, an ultrasound transducer, and a detector for monitoring feedback signals from the transducer (col 4 lines 17-23).

7. Claims 56, 64, 66, 67, 80, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bock (US 5,618,275).

Bock discloses an ultrasonic device having an applicator, 1,2,3 and an ultrasound transducer.

Application/Control Number: 09/114,810

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308
2194. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Cris L. Rodriguez

April 30, 2003

BRIAN L. CASLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4